

# Qlik Corporate Social Responsibility






We believe that through leveraging the value of data, people and ideas, **we have the power - and responsibility - to make the world a better, healthier place.**









Location

ConsultYear PatientGender Diagnosis Description Treatment Description




Encounters

ConsultYear CONSULT LOCATION Diagnosis Description Treatment Description




- Globally





- India



- Africa



**Male 61.1%**      **Female 38.9%**



No selections applied

## Overview



Total Screenings

926

Current Selections

926

Offered

748

Confirmed


459

Unconfirmed

289

### Map


Coloured by Screening Specialty



- General
- MaxFax
- Orthopaedic
- Women
- Goiter
- Obstetric Fistula
- Plastics


### By Department

Number of Screenings by Department




### By Specialty

Number of Screenings by Noted Specialty



### Daily Screenings

Number of Screenings per Day by Crew



## Alerts vs. Beds Tracker

These graphics help analyze credible alerts vs. # of available beds. The variance view shows the difference between credible alerts and # of available beds over time. Negative numbers are highlighted in red; this indicates that there are not enough beds to meet the demand.


The trend tracker view shows the same metrics but as trend across the two measures. The y-axis represents the # of credible alerts. The x-axis represents the # of available beds. The red region indicates the breakeven point where # of beds does not meet the # of alerts.

### Weekly Trend


animate by week



Variance Analysis



Trend Paths



## Quarantine Map

This map shows the quarantine locations. These locations can be analyzed over time using the weekly trend feature. Other than the bounding area of quarantines and highlighting the most isolated quarantines. This isolation is calculated by looking at the bounding area of quarantines. This can be an indication of new locations for the disease.


### Isolation Analysis

### Bounding Area


show bounding area

### Weekly Trend

animate by week



### What is the distribution of PCR lab results?




## ECT Demographic Explorer


Use this demographic explorer to investigate relationships in the data. Based on all cases, see how the cases were subdivided through the demographics.

### Select your fields

Outcome  Gender  none  none

### Select your path weights






**Travel**



Data as of 8/5/2016 11:29:59 AM

Source Country | Source Airport City | Source Airport Name | Dest Airport Name | Dest Airport City | Dest Country


Flights to....



Flights from....



address	state	county	hospitalname	Sum(case_cou	Avg(Product_	Avg(Product_	Avg(Product_
Totals				33	71.290118	87.069729	63.8076
1139 E SONTERRA BLVD	TX	BEXAR	METHODIST STONE OAK HOSPITAL	3	59.114883	88.933322	90.8750
7400 MERTON MINTER BLVD.	TX	BEXAR	SAN ANTONIO VIA MEDICAL CENTER - VIA SOUTH TEXAS	3	65.096729	82.444891	68.6978
18800 NORTH HARDY OAK BLVD	TX	BEXAR	SOUTH TEXAS SPINE AND SURGICAL HOSPITAL	3	54.122751	67.309406	73.0597
7400 BARLITE BLVD	TX	BEXAR	SOUTHWEST GENERAL HOSPITAL	3	58.91314	84.28511	49.9485
4502 MEDICAL DR	TX	BEXAR	UNIVERSITY HEALTH SYSTEM	3	74.777594	73.68636	62.2769
707 HIGHLANDER BLVD	TX	TARRANT	BAYLOR ORTHOPEDIC AND SPINE HOSPITAL AT ARLINGTON	3	74.803446	61.725525	66.1923
1650 W COLLEGE ST	TX	TARRANT	BAYLOR SCOTT & WHITE MEDICAL CENTER GRAPEVINE	3	86.629281	71.965654	64.139



Product 1 on-hand %  
**71.29**

Product 2 on-hand %  
**87.07**

Product 3 on-hand %  
**63.81**







**OUTBOUND INT'L - Top 10 Priority Deliveries** Qlik

InProcess Deliv. 31/157	Delivered Orders 27/157	Being Picked 3	Picked 0	Being Packed 0
----------------------------	----------------------------	-------------------	-------------	-------------------


**Next 10 Priority Shipments**

Queue	Status	Delivery - Lines	PO	WH Days	MTT	HUs	Te Haz	Ship		Shor	Ship	VOL	IN3
						m.	Mat	Cou.		tes.	Type		
1	Dlvd to WH	80041478 - 25	KM	18	Pall	0		HT	Saint Damien Pediatric Hosp.	Haiti - Core	238	OCE	411,053
2	Being Picked	80041218 - 12	EM	24	Pall	0	2	MX	Compañeros en Salud Méxic.	Mexico Initat.	205	AIR	37,840
3	Dlvd to WH	80041215 - 32	GB	24	40'	0	1	GY	Mahaicony Diagnostic Center	General Heal	208	OCE	1,409,434
4	Dlvd to WH	80041216 -	MD	24	Pall	0		UG	Mbale Regional Referral Hos.	Fistula Repair	330	AIR	1,123,406
4	Dlvd to WH	80041216 -	MD	24	Pall	0		UG	Mulago National Referral	Fistula Repair	330	AIR	1,123,406
4	Dlvd to WH	80041216 -	MD	24	Pall	0		UG	Soroti Regional Referral Hos	Fistula Repair	330	AIR	1,123,406
4	Dlvd to WH	80041216 -	MD	24	Pall	0		UG	TERREWODE	Fistula Repair	330	AIR	1,123,406
5	Dlvd to WH	80041240 - 38	JK	22	20'	0		GH	Komfo Anokye Teaching Ho	General Heal	238	OCE	1,136,788
8	Dlvd to WH	80041243 - 59	JK	22	20'	0		XS	Edna Adan University Hospital	General Heal	238	OCE	961,050

0% picked   
 80041243 Serbia 0% picked   
 80041467 Cambodia 0% picked



# Joint External Evaluation of the Republic of Liberia Mission Report September 2016



# Joint External Evaluation of United States of America Mission Report June 2016



# Joint External Evaluation of IHR Core Capacities of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan

Mission Report: April 27-May 6, 2016



Alliance for Country Assessment



Global Health Security Agenda  
Private Sector Roundtable

During the Global Health Security Agenda (GHS) Summit Next Steps meeting held in Washington, D.C. in September 2014, five countries volunteered to pilot country-level assessments to evaluate and assess the current state of their GHS-related capabilities. These assessments were used to determine baseline public health capabilities and weaknesses, and serve as a benchmark against which countries can assess future progress in implementation of the 11 Action Packages.

For these five volunteer countries – Georgia, Peru, Portugal, Uganda, and the United Kingdom – the primary objective of the initial assessments was to evaluate the utility of the GHS Assessment Tool and to propose improvements to the Tool. The secondary objective was to use the assessment tool as a guide in reviewing the in-country structures and functions essential to the prevention, detection, and response of infectious disease threats. Following these five pilot assessments, Ukraine was evaluated using a revised version of the GHS Assessment tool. These assessments scored against the 11 GHS Action Packages; for each metric, a country can receive one of the following scores:

- Score of 0: No capacity
- Score of 1: Limited capacity
- Score of 2: Developed capacity
- Score of 3: Demonstrated capability
- Score of 4: Sustainable capacity

In 2015, these external assessments were replaced with the Joint External Evaluation (JEE) tool, which helps to assess a country's public health capabilities, relating to all International Health Regulations (2005) (IHR) and GHS-relevant capacities. The JEE tool can serve as a benchmark against which countries can assess future progress in implementation of the 19 Technical Areas/Action Packages in the key areas of prevention, detection, and responding.

The JEE process is a peer-to-peer review that includes two stages: (1) an initial self-evaluation phase conducted by each individual country and (2) an in-country evaluation performed by an external evaluation team of experts. Since the launching of the JEE tool, six countries have elected to take part. For each country, the self-evaluation and external evaluation utilized an assessment that contained metrics for evaluation of each of the 19 Technical Areas/Action Packages, along with a scoring rubric. For each metric, a country can receive one of the following scores:

- Score of 1: No capacity
- Score of 2: Limited capacity

Country Assessment Detail Visual

